WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	v.	UKL	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Fran	cisco Javier Hernandez-Salgado	Case Number:	10-6659M
present and wa	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31 as represented by counsel. I conclude by the defendant pending trial in this case.	42(f), a detention hearing a preponderance of the e	was held on December 10, 2010. Defendant was vidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a pror		FINDINGS OF FACT	
	conderance of the evidence that:	wited Ctates or lawfully as	les itte di forme among antino ci dono co
⊠ ⊠	The defendant is not a citizen of the U	•	'
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custor		
	Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
X	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum o	f	years imprisonment.
The Coat the time of t	the hearing in this matter, except as note	al findings of the Pretrial S d in the record. DNCLUSIONS OF LAW	services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defend No condition or combination of condition	ant will flee.	e the appearance of the defendant as required.
a corrections for appeal. The do of the United S	efendant is committed to the custody of t acility separate, to the extent practicable, efendant shall be afforded a reasonable States or on request of an attorney for the he United States Marshal for the purpos	he Attorney General or his from persons awaiting or s opportunity for private cons Government, the person	s/her designated representative for confinement in serving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.
IT IS C deliver a copy Court.	DRDERED that should an appeal of this	detention order be filed wi	th the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to tone day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
IT IS F Services suffic	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to ciently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be consi the District Court to allow	dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DAT	ED this 10 th day of December,	2010.	
		Jan	

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge